

Criterion	LVRPA's computation					corrected computation				
	Weighting	Lea Bridge Road		Eton Manor		Weighting	Lea Bridge Road		Eton Manor	
		Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted		Unweighted	Weighted	Unweighted	Weighted
<i>Location</i>										
Accessibility from existing catchments	12	5	60	3	36	7	5	35	3	21
Future catchment projections	8	5	40	5	40	9	5	45	5	45
Strategic appeal and profile, visual prominence	7	4	28	5	35	8	4	32	5	40
Adjacencies of other leisure uses	5	2	10	3	15	6	2	12	5	30
Sporting authority stakeholder support	9	4	36	4	36	10	4	40	4	40
Community stakeholder support	9	3	27	5	45	10	3	30	5	50
Subtotal	50	23	201	25	207	50	23	194	27	226
<i>Accessibility and transport</i>										
Access by car	15	3	45	4	60	7	3	21	4	28
Access by public transport	15	4	60	4	60	15	3	45	4	60
Access by cycle	5	4	20	3	15	9	4	36	4	36
Access by foot	5	3	15	3	15	9	3	27	3	27
Crowd dispersal	5	4	20	4	20	5	4	20	4	20
Community access	5	3	15	3	15	5	3	15	3	15
Subtotal	50	21	175	21	185	50	20	164	22	186
<i>Physical characteristics</i>										
Fit on site	20	5	100	4	80	21	5	105	5	105
Capable of expansion	5	4	20	2	10	6	4	24	2	12
Ice centre & on-site parking	10	4	40	1	10	6	4	24	2	12
Ability for other revenue-generating opportunities	10	4	40	2	20	11	4	44	3	33
Ground and landscape constraints	5	4	20	3	15	6	3	18	4	24
Subtotal	50	21	220	12	135	50	20	215	16	186
<i>Commercial and financial</i>										
Cost and ability to develop the scheme	20	4	80	3	60	20	4	80	3	60
Impact on business plan	10	5	50	3	30	10	5	50	3	30
Continuity of service	10	1	10	5	50	10	1	10	5	50
Ability to deliver enabling development	5	1	5	3	15	5	1	5	3	15
Ability to attract grant funding	5	4	20	4	20	5	4	20	4	20
Subtotal	50	15	165	18	175	50	15	165	18	175
<i>Policy</i>										
Ability to implement transport package	6	4	24	4	24	6	4	24	4	24
Accordance with government guidance	6	3	18	3	18	6	3	18	3	18
Accordance with local plan policies	6	3	18	3	18	6	3	18	3	18
Accordance with green belt and MOL policy	6	3	18	3	18	6	3	18	3	18
GLA support	8	3	24	4	32	8	3	24	4	32
Local authority support	8	5	40	5	40	8	5	40	5	40
Regeneration benefits	4	3	12	3	12	4	3	12	3	12
Planning potential for enabling developments	6	3	18	3	18	6	3	18	3	18
Subtotal	50	27	172	28	180	50	27	172	28	180
Total	250	107	933	104	882	250	105	910	111	953
Percentage of maximum score			75%		71%			73%		76%